EXHIBIT B

EXHIBIT B-1

HCDistrictclerk.com RODRIGUEZ, OLGA vs. STATE FARM LLOYDS

> Cause: 201959536 CDI: 7 Court: 164

DOCUMENTS

Number	Document	Post Jdgm		Pgs
87239508	Defendant Original Answer		09/23/2019	5
87123123	Citation Return		09/13/2019	2
86881737	Civil Process Pick-Up Form		08/27/2019	1
86810585	Plaintiff's Original Petition		08/23/2019	9
·> 86810586	Request for Issuance of Service		08/23/2019	1

9/24/2019

EXHIBIT B-2

8/23/2019 10:54 PM Marilyn Burgess - District Clerk Harris County Envelope No. 36248641 By: Cynthia Clausell-McGowan Filed: 8/23/2019 10:54 PM

2019-59536 / Court: 164

	CAUSE NO	
OLGA RODRIGUEZ,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff	§	
	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
V.	8	
	Š	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
STATE FARM LLOYDS	Š	
Defendant	<u> </u>	JURY TRIAL DEMAND

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW PLAINTIFF Olga Rodriguez ("Plaintiff") and files this Original Petition against State Farm Lloyds ("Defendant") and, in support thereof, would respectfully show the Court the following:

I. DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN AND MONETARY RELIEF

- 1. Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level 2. Tex. R. Civ. P. 190.3.
- 2. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over \$200.000 but not more than \$1,000,000.00, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney's fees. Tex. R. Civ. P. 47(c)(4).

II. CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

3. Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 54, Plaintiff asserts that all conditions precedent to recovery have been performed or have occurred.

III. PARTIES, JURISDICTION AND VENUE

A. PARTIES.

- 4. Plaintiff Olga Rodriguez is a Texas resident(s), who resides at 1908 Brinton Spring Ln., Brazoria County, Pearland, TX 77581.
- 5. Defendant State Farm Lloyds is an insurance company doing business in the State of Texas, which may be served through Corporation Service Company at 211 East 7th Street Suite 620 Austin, TX 78701 -3218. As it relates to the event giving rise to this Petition, Plaintiff invokes the right to institute this suit against any entity that was conducting business using the assumed or common name of State Farm Lloyds. Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 28, Plaintiff moves the Court to order Defendant to substitute its true name if different from the name stated herein.

B. JURISDICTION.

- 6. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this cause of action because it involves an amount in controversy in excess of the minimum jurisdictional limits of the Court.
- 7. The Court has both general and specific personal jurisdiction over Defendant. The Court has general jurisdiction over Defendant, as Defendant has sufficient minimum contacts with and within this State and has purposefully availed itself of the privilege of conducting activities within this State, thus invoking the benefits, protections, and obligations of this State's laws. Defendant's contacts with this State, which are continuous and systematic, include doing business in Texas, selling and delivering insurance products in Texas, entering into contracts for insurance in Texas with Texas residents, insuring property located in Texas, underwriting insurance policies in Texas, accepting policy premiums in Texas and adjusting insurance claims in Texas. This activity was not the unilateral activity of another party or a third person.
- 8. Defendant's contacts with Texas, relied upon by Plaintiff, were purposeful and were not random, fortuitous, or attenuated, and are thus subject to the jurisdiction of this State in

suits based on its activities. The Court has jurisdiction over Defendant because: (1) Defendant purposefully availed itself of the benefits of conducting activities in Texas, and (2) the cause of action arises from or relates to those contacts or activities.

9. The Court has specific jurisdiction over this matter as it involved the execution, performance, and breach of a Texas insurance contract with Plaintiff, who is a Texas resident, with regards to an insured risk and/or property located in Texas. As a matter of law, Defendant conducted business in this State because, without limitation, Defendant conducted the business of insurance in Texas and committed one or more torts and/or violated the Texas DTPA and/or Insurance Code, in whole or in part in this State, against Plaintiff in Brazoria County, Texas. Defendant has sufficient and/or minimum contacts with this State, and thus Plaintiff affirmatively assert the Court's exercise of jurisdiction over Defendant comports with "traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice."

C. VENUE.

10. Venue is proper in Brazoria County because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in Brazoria County. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 15.002(a)(l). The property subject to this dispute and which is owned by Plaintiff is located in Brazoria County. The insurance policy insuring the property was executed in Brazoria County. The damage to the property resulted from an event or occurrence in Brazoria County. The resulting insurance claim that was made by Plaintiff, the property inspection performed by Defendant, and the denial and/or underpayment of the insurance claim by Defendant occurred in Brazoria County.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

11. Olga Rodriguez is a named insured under a property insurance policy issued by State Farm Lloyds. The policy number is ***5192.

- 12. On August 28, 2017, Hurricane Harvey hit the Texas coast, which included Brazoria County. This resulted in roof and interior damage to Plaintiff's home. Specifically, the storm lifted, tore and removed shingles on the roof, allowing water to enter the home. Consequently, there are portions of the ceiling that are stained from the water entering the home. Thereafter, Plaintiff filed a claim on her insurance policy.
 - 13. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant improperly denied and/or underpaid the claim.
- 14. Plaintiff asserts that Defendant conducted a substandard investigation and inspection of the property, prepared a report, which did not include all of the damages that were observed during the inspection, and undervalued the damages observed during the inspection.
- 15. Defendant performed an outcome-oriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim. Defendant's (improper) claims handling included Defendant's biased claims adjustment, and an unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's losses on the property. In addition, Defendant's claims handling included both an unreasonable investigation and underpayment of Plaintiff's claim.

V. CAUSES OF ACTION AND ATTORNEY'S FEES

16. Plaintiff incorporates the foregoing for all purposes.

A. Breach of Contract

17. Plaintiff and Defendant entered into an insurance contract. Defendant breached this contract by, without limitation, inadequately and/or improperly investigating Plaintiff's insurance claim, wrongfully denying and/or underpaying the claim. Defendant damaged Plaintiff through its actions and/or inactions described herein.

B. PROMPT PAYMENT OF CLAIMS STATUTE

- 18. Defendant's failure to pay for Plaintiff's losses and/ or to follow the statutory time guidelines for accepting or denying coverage constitutes a violation of Section 542.001 *et seq.* of the Texas Insurance Code, including without limitation §§ 542.055-.058.
- 19. In addition to Plaintiff's claim for damages, Defendant's violation of the Tex. Insurance Code entitles Plaintiff to penalties, interest and attorney's fees as set forth in Section 542.060 of the Texas Insurance Code.

C. BAD FAITH

- 20. Defendant is an insurance company and insured Plaintiff's property. Defendant is required to comply with Chapter 541 of the Texas Insurance Code.
- 21. Defendant violated Section 541.051 of the Texas Insurance Code by, without limitation, making statements misrepresenting the terms and/or benefits of the policy.
 - 22. Defendant also violated Section 541.060 by, without limitation:
 - a. Misrepresenting to Plaintiff a material fact or policy provision relating to coverage at issue;
 - b. Failing to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of a claim with respect to which the insurer's liability had become reasonably clear;
 - c. Failing to promptly provide to Plaintiff a reasonable explanation of the basis in the policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the insurer's denial of a claim or offer of a compromised settlement of a claim;
 - Failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of a claim to
 Plaintiff or submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff; and/or

- e. Refusing to pay the claim without conducting a reasonable investigation with respect to the claim;
- 23. Defendant violated Section 541.061 by, without limitation:
 - a. Making an untrue statement of material fact;
 - Failing to state a material fact necessary to make other statements made not misleading considering the circumstances under which the statements were made;
 - c. Making a statement in a manner that would mislead a reasonably prudent person to a false conclusion of a material fact;
 - d. Making a material misstatement of law; and/or
 - e. Failing to disclose a matter required by law to be disclosed.
- 24. Defendant knowingly committed the acts complained of. As such, Plaintiff is entitled to exemplary and/or treble damages pursuant to Texas Insurance Code Section 541.152(a)-(b).

D. Additional Claims & Damages.

25. Plaintiff also seeks to recover damages and/or actual damages "caused by" Defendant's Insurance Code violations. This includes, without limitation, damages resulting from Defendant's delay in payment, resulting from Defendant's unreasonable investigation. This includes, without limitation, costs for temporary repairs, additional property damage to Plaintiff's home during the pendency of the claims process and this litigation, costs associated with appraisal costs or sums related to pre-appraisal damage assessments.

26. Plaintiff also seeks damages to compensate Plaintiff for the tangible and intangible consequences, suffering, stress and mental anguish of having to live with an unrepaired home for months.

E. ATTORNEY'S FEES

- 27. Plaintiff engaged the undersigned attorneys to prosecute this lawsuit against Defendant and agreed to pay reasonable attorney's fees and expenses through trial and any appeal.
- 28. Plaintiff is entitled to reasonable and necessary attorney's fees pursuant to Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code Sections 38.001-38.003 because an attorney that represents Plaintiff presented the claim to Defendant, and Defendant did not tender the just amount owed before the expiration of the 30th day after the claim was presented.
- 29. Plaintiff further prays that she be awarded all reasonable attorney's fees incurred in prosecuting her causes of action through trial and any appeal pursuant to Sections 541.152 and 542.060 of the Texas Insurance Code.

VI. TEX. R. CIV. P. 193.7 NOTICE.

31. Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 193.7, the undersigned hereby notifies all parties and counsel of record that Plaintiff may introduce into evidence at the time of trial or pre-trial, those documents produced by all parties in response to requests for production and/or requests for disclosure in this matter.

VII. <u>Jury Demand</u>

32. Pursuant to Tex. R. Civ. P. 216, Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury and has tendered the appropriate fee.

VIII. <u>Prayer</u>

33. WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that Defendant be cited to appear and answer herein, and that, after due process of law, Plaintiff have judgment against Defendant for actual damages, together with exemplary damages, statutory damages, treble damages, statutory interest, pre-judgment interest, post-judgment interest, attorney's fees, costs of suit, and for all such other and further relief, both general and special, in law and in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

THE BUZBEE LAW FIRM

By: /s/ Anthony G.Buzbee

Anthony G. Buzbee State Bar No. 24001820 tbuzbee@txattorneys.com Christopher J. Leavitt State Bar No. 24053318 cleavitt@txattorneys.com JP Morgan Chase Tower 600 Travis, Suite 6850 Houston, Texas 77002

Telephone: (713) 223-5393 Facsimile: (713) 223-5909

AND

LAW OFFICES OF MANUEL SOLIS, PC

By: /s/ Stephen R. Walker

Stephen R. Walker State Bar No. 24001820 Texas Bar No. 24034729 Gregory J. Finney Texas Bar No. 24044430 Juan A. Solis Texas Bar No. 24103040 6657 Navigation Blvd. Houston, TX 77011 Phone: (713) 277-7838 Fax: (281) 377-3924

Fax: (281) 377-3924 swalker@manuelsolis.com gfinney@manuelsolis.com jusolis@manuelsolis.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

EXHIBIT B-3

DELIVERED AUG 3 0 2019

	CAUSE NO. 20195953					
	RECEIPT NO.	0.00 CIV				
	******	TR # 73663084				
PLAINTIFF: RODRIGUEZ, OLGA		In The 164th				
VS. DEFENDANT: STATE FARM LLOYDS		Judicial District Court of Harris County, Texas 164TH DISTRICT COURT Houston, TX				
	CITATION					
THE STATE OF TEXAS County of Harris						
TO: STATE FARM LLOYDS MAY BE SERVE 211 EAST 7TH STREET SUITE 620 Attached is a copy of PLAINTIE	AUSTIN TX 78701 -					
11 -	·					
This instrument was filed on the 2 and court. The instrument attached	23rd day of August, 2019 I describes the claim ag	, in the above cited cause number ainst you.				
written answer with the District C next following the expiration of 2	YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written answer with the District Clerk who issued this citation by 10:00 a.m on the Monday next following the expiration of 20 days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be taken against you.					
TO OFFICER SERVING:						
This citation was issued on seal of said Court.						
Issued at request of: LEAVITT, CHRISTOPHER JERROD 600 TRAVIS STREET SUITE 7300 HOUSTON, TX 77002 Tel: (713) 223-5393 Bar No.: 24053318	Harris 201 Car (P.O. B	Burges, District Clerk County, Texas oline, Houston, Texas 77002 ox 4651, Houston, Texas 77210) : CLAUSELL, CYNTHIA RGI//11311166				
	DET CED /3 MENOD TOPD DED CO					
	OFFICER/AUTHORIZED PERSO					
Came to hand at o'clock	•					
Executed at (address)		in				
County at	o'clockM., o	on the, day of,				
, by delivering to		defendant, in person, a				
true copy of this Citation togethe	er with the accompanying	copy(ies) of the Petition				
attached thereto and I endorsed on To certify which I affix my hand c	n said copy of the Citat officially this da	ion the date of delivery.				
FEE: \$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·				
4 	· · c	County, Texas				
	D	•				
Affiant	Ву	Deputy .				
On this day,		known to me to be the person whose				
signature appears on the foregoing he/she stated that this citation w return.	return, personally apprais executed by him/her	known to me to be the person whose peared. After being by me duly sworn, in the exact manner recited on the				
SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME,	on this day of					

Notary Public

From: HOME CLMS-RECORDLOSS

Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 11:22 AM

To: LT - FIRE - Litigation

Subject: B291-9L5

New loss recorded B291-9L5

D76N

From: HOME CLMS-SALIR

Sent: Wednesday, September 4, 2019 8:35 AM

To: HOME CLMS-RECORDLOSS < home.clms-recordloss.147o26@statefarm.com>

Subject: B291-9L5



Claims Support,

SALIR is unable to locate a claim number for this lawsuit. Please use the following information to record a new claim, and assign through Automatic Assignment.

Reason for Recording Claim: Example: Lawsuit – [Document ID# 28843611]

Policy Number [Auto/Fire]: FIRE

Type of Claim (Fire Only): Example: Homeowner, Worker's Comp

Car Number (for multi-car states only):

Facts of Loss (if known): On August 28, 2017, Hurricane Harvey

Date and Time of Loss: On or about June 4, 2019

Loss / Property Location (address, city and state): If no loss or property location information provided enter "no information provided".

Participants Involved (Auto Only): Olga Rodriguez

Vehicles Involved (Auto Only):

Thank you.

EXHIBIT B-4

Envelope No. 37014902 By: Tiffany Jefferson Filed: 9/23/2019 7:43 AM

CAUSE NO. 2019-59536

OLGA RODRIGUEZ,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	HARRIS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
STATE FARM LLOYDS,	§	
Defendant.	§	164 [™] JUDICIAL DISTRICT

DEFENDANT'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

Defendant State Farm Lloyds files this Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

1. Defendant generally denies all material allegations contained in Plaintiff's Original Petition, and any amendment thereto, and demands strict proof thereof as allowed under the laws of the State of Texas. By this general denial, Defendant requires Plaintiff to prove every fact to support the claims in Plaintiff's Original Petition, and any amendment thereto, by a preponderance of the evidence.

III. DEFENSES

2. **Policy Coverage Provisions.** Under the Insuring Agreement, Plaintiff bears the burden to prove the actual cash value of damage resulting from an occurrence of accidental direct physical loss to the insured property during the policy period. Plaintiff lacks proof of damages resulted from any accidental direct physical loss during the policy period beyond the amount of the policy deductible.

- 3. **Limit of Liability.** State Farm's liability, if any, is limited to the amount of the policy limits under the subject policy, pursuant to the "Limit of Liability" and other clauses contained in the policy sued upon.
- 4. **Deductible/Offset.** Defendant is entitled to an offset or credit against Plaintiff's damages, if any, in the amount of Plaintiff's \$5,558.00 deductible.
- 5. **Wear and Tear, Deterioration.** Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because the damages and losses alleged in Plaintiff's Original Petition, none being admitted, were proximately caused in whole or in part by wear and tear and related aging issues. The policy at issue provides that wear and tear does not fall under the coverage of the policy:

SECTION I - LOSSES NOT INSURED

- 1. We do not insure for any loss to the property described in Coverage A which consists of, or is directly and immediately caused by, one or more of the perils listed in items a. through n. below, regardless of whether the loss occurs suddenly or gradually, involves isolated or widespread damage, arises from natural or external forces, or occurs as a result of any combination of these:
 - g. wear, tear, marring, scratching, deterioration, inherent vice, latent defect or mechanical breakdown.

Part of the property damages Plaintiff is claiming to the insured property occurred over time through wear, tear, and deterioration of the roof, shingles, and other areas of the property. These conditions are not insured under the policy at issue.

6. Loss Settlement Provision/Condition: Replacement Cost Benefits. Under the Insuring Agreement, Plaintiff must first repair or replace the damaged property to recover replacement cost benefits and the Policy limits such coverage to costs "necessarily" spent to repair the damaged property. The Policy specifically provides:

FE-3533.1 HOMEOWNERS POLICY ENDORSEMENT (Texas)

SECTION I - LOSS SETTLEMENT

COVERAGE A-DWELLING

Items 1. and 2. are replaced by the following:

1. A1 - Replacement Cost Loss Settlement - Similar Construction.

- a. We will pay the cost to repair or replace with similar construction and for the same use on the premises shown in the **Declarations**, the damaged part of the property covered under **SECTION I - COVERAGES**, **COVERAGE A - DWELLING**, except for wood fences, subject to the following:
 - (1) until actual repair or replacement is completed, we will pay only the actual cash value at the time of the loss of the damaged part of the property, up to the applicable limit of liability shown in the **Declarations**, not to exceed the cost to repair or replace the damaged part of the property;
 - (2) when the repair or replacement is actually completed, we will pay the covered additional amount you actually and necessarily spend to repair or replace the damaged part of the property, or an amount up to the applicable limit of liability shown in the **Declarations**, whichever is less;
 - (3) to receive any additional payments on a replacement cost basis, you must complete the actual repair or replacement of the damaged part of the property within two years after the date of loss, and give prompt notice to us after the work has been completed; and
 - (4) we will not pay for increased costs resulting from enforcement of any ordinance or law regulating the construction, repair or demolition of a building or other structure, except as provided under Option OL -Building Ordinance or Law Coverage.

Plaintiff lacks proof of completed repairs or replacement to any covered property damage connected with her insurance claim, and regardless, the most Plaintiff can recover under the Policy is the actual cost of Plaintiff's necessary repairs. As such, Plaintiff's recovery in this case, if any, is limited to the actual cash value of the covered property damage.

7. **Bona Fide/Legitimate Dispute.** A bona fide/legitimate dispute exists precluding Plaintiff's recovery of damages under extra-contractual theories including for violations of the Texas Insurance Code or any other statutory or common law authority.

8. **Cap on Punitive Damages.** Tex. CIV. PRAC. AND REM. CODE §41.001, *et seq.*, applies and punitive damages awarded, if any, are subject to the statutory limit set forth therein, other applicable statutory authority, and the common law. Further, unless Plaintiff proves Defendant's liability for punitive damages, and the amount of punitive damages, if any, by clear and convincing evidence, any award of punitive damages would violate Defendant's due process rights guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution and by Section 19 of Article 1 of the Texas Constitution.

PRAYER

Defendant prays that Plaintiff take nothing by her claims, and that Defendant recover its costs, fees, and expenses, and such other further relief to which Defendant may show itself to be justly entitled to, in law and in equity.

Respectfully submitted,

NISTICO, CROUCH & KESSLER, P.C.

By: /s/ M. Micah Kessler

M. Micah Kessler State Bar No. 00796878 Jazmine J. Ford State Bar No. 24109881

1900 West Loop South, Suite 800

Houston Toyas 77027

Houston, Texas 77027

Telephone: (713) 781-2889 Telecopier: (713) 781-7222 Email: mkessler@nck-law.com Email: jford@nck-law.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing instrument was served on all parties through counsel of record in compliance with Rules 21 and 21a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure on September 23, 2019, in the manner(s) prescribed below:

Anthony G. Buzbee Christopher J. Leavitt The Buzbee Law Firm 600 Travis, Suite 6850 Houston, Texas 77002 VIA EFILE

Stephen R. Walker Gregory J. Finney Juan Solis Law Offices of Manuel Solis, PC 6657 Navigation Boulevard, Houston, Texas 77011 VIA EFILE

> /s/ M. Micah Kessler M. Micah Kessler

Envelope Details Page 1 of 2

Case 4:19-cv-03728 Document 1-3 Filed on 09/27/19 in TXSD Page 23 of 24

Print this page

Case # 201959536 - RODRIGUEZ, OLGA v STATE FARM LLOYDS

Case Information

Location Harris County - 164th Civil District Court

Date Filed 9/23/2019 7:43 AM

Case Number 201959536

RODRIGUEZ, OLGA v STATE FARM LLOYDS Case Description

Assigned to Judge

Attorney M Kessler

Firm Name Nistico Crouch & Kessler PC

Filed By Tyffeni Nguyen

Filer Type Attorney

Fees

Convenience Fee \$0.00 **Total Court Case Fees** \$0.00 **Total Court Party Fees** \$0.00 **Total Court Filing Fees** \$0.00 **Total Court Service Fees** \$0.00 Total Filing & Service Fees \$0.00 **Total Provider Service Fees** \$0.00 Total Provider Tax Fees \$0.00 Total Taxes (for non-court \$0.00 fees)

Grand Total \$0.00

Payment

AMEX 6004 Account Name

Transaction Amount \$0.00

Transaction Response

Transaction ID 55237309

Order #

Answer/ Response / Waiver

Filing Type **EFileAndServe**

Filing Code Answer/ Response / Waiver Filing Description Defendant's Original Answer

Reference Number Rodriguez, Olga

Comments

Status Submitting

Fees

Court Fee \$0.00 Service Fee \$0.00

Documents

Lead Document Rodriguez, Olga - Defendant Original Answer.pdf Original

eService Details

Name/Email	Firm	Service Method	Status	Served	Date/Time Opened
Jessica Salto jsalto@txattorneys.com	Buzbee Law Firm	EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Christopher Leavitt cleavitt@txattorneys.com	Buzbee Law Firm	EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Ryan Steinhart rSteinhart@txattorneys.com	The Buzbee Law Firm	EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Rian Taff rtaff@txattorneys.com		EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Harvey Clerk LawClerk@txattorneys.com	The Buzbee Law Firm	EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Anthony Buzbee tbuzbee@txattorneys.com		EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Gregory J. Finney gfinney@manuelsolis.com		EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Jazmine J. Ford jford@nck-law.com		EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
M Micah Kessler mkessler@nck-law.com	NCK, PC	EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Juan Solis jusolis@manuelsolis.com		EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Stephen R. Walker swalker@manuelsolis.com		EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened
Tyffeni Nguyen tnguyen@nck-law.com	Nistico, Crouch & Kessler	EServe	Not Sent	No	Not Opened